

I have just learned
of Sinclair
Broadcasting's
decision to force
their stations to
air an anti-Kerry
documentary days
before the election.

This decision is a
clear example of the
dangers of media
consolidation.

I believe Sinclair
may be trying to
influence the
upcoming election
and this disturbs
me. Sinclair uses
the public airwaves
free of charge, and
is obligated by law
to serve the public
interest. But when
large companies
control the
airwaves, we get
more of what's good
for the bottom line
and less of what we
need for our
democracy.

Sinclair's actions
show why we need to
strengthen media
ownership rules, not
weaken them. The
license renewal
process for media
companies needs to
involve more than a
returned postcard.
Thank you.